



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/788,408	03/01/2004	Shelly D. Famham	003797.00764	4967

28319 7590 06/22/2007  
BANNER & WITCOFF, LTD.  
ATTORNEYS FOR CLIENT NOS. 003797 & 013797  
1100 13th STREET, N.W.  
SUITE 1200  
WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051

EXAMINER
TORIMIRO, ADETOKUNBO OLUSEGUN

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
3714	

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
06/22/2007	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/788,408	FARNHAM ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Adetokunbo O. Torimiro	3714	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Adetokunbo O. Torimiro.

(3) John M. Fleming.

(2) Robert Pezzuto.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 20 June 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.


Identification of prior art discussed: Sparks II.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
ROBERT E. PEZZUTO  
SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

The Attorney and Examiner discussed on the ammendment for proposed RCE. The attorney agreed to clearly discuss on 112 issues that might arise as a result of the inclusion of "a second online game" in the RCE. Examiner understands that if 112 issue is taken care of, the new ammendment will overcome the teaching of Sparks II with respect to matchmaking of game players based on the query of game players about each other, which attorney agreed was not initially claimed.